

Part 1

DTEB DATA MAINTENANCE WORK REQUEST FORM

DATE SUBMITTED:

07/25/2012

DM NUMBER: 1059

USE THIS FORM TO REQUEST A NEW OR A CHANGE TO AN EXISTING DoD EDI CONVENTION OR ASC X12 STANDARD.

Complete all required actions. Numbered attachments may be used for continuation.

ITEM 1: SUBMITTER INFORMATION

If the submitter information below is incorrect contact:

Mr. David (Dave) Jimenez, USTC-DTEBAccount@ustranscom.mil, DSN 770-3896, Comm (618) 220-3896 to update.

NAME

Ms. Tina M. Woodbury

ORGANIZATION

SDDC-IMA

Application Systems Affected:

Carrier's Information System, IGC, Other,

EMail ADDRESS

tina.m.woodbury2.civ@mail.mil

PHONE (DSN) 770-5673

PHONE (COMMERCIAL) (618) 220-5673

ITEM 2: REFERENCES

Identify either the DoD EDI convention or ASC X12 standard requiring change. If a new convention or standard is required, type "NEW" in place of the title.

Type

DoD EDI (C)onvention

TITLE:

DTEB 315.A.004010 STATUS DETAILS

(OCEAN) VERSION 2

ITEM 3: PROPOSED WORK

Identify the action required (add, change, delete) and describe the specific work requested to the convention of standard. For convention work, identify section, page number, affected item, and any other information that clarifies the request. For standard work, identify segment, segment position, segment attribute, data element, data element attribute, and any other information that clarifies the request. Acronyms/abbreviations must be spelled out. New codes must include an expanded code definition.

ACTION: Change

Description:

At index [2-03] in the B403 - Shipment Status Code, modify usage notes for the following:

"OA" - defined as "Out-Gate"

Change usage note to: "Use 'OA' to denote Shipment is Out-Gated from Carrier's Terminal."

ITEM 4: REASON FOR CHANGE (business case)

Explain why you need the proposed change. Provide a complete scenario that tells what the business function, operation, or problem is that will be satisfied by a change to the convention. Technical assessment requires enough information to be able to propose an alternate solution, if necessary. Be specific. Use additional paper if necessary.

Current note includes "commencement to consignee" and that's not necessarily the case for all "out-gate" locations.

ITEM 5: PRIORITY/REQUIRED DATE

Identify priority and required date of change.

PRIORITY: (R) - Routine	Required by date: 09/01/2012
Administration change only. No DTEB vote required for approval.	Vote required.

ITEM 6: Reviewed

REVIEW ACTION: TRANSCOM Review

Comments:

Reviewed and recommend release for member vote. 25 Jul 12 PGV

ITEM 7: Reviewed by TRANSCOM.

APPROVAL ACTION: Approved

Comments:

Approved for voting.

ITEM 8: Ask for an additional reviewer's inputs.

Don't ask an SME to review this DM.

Comments:

ITEM 9: Voting.

Voting Action:

Released for Voting

Due Date:

08/10/2012

Voting Comments:

ITEM 10: Final Approval.

Approval Action:

Approved

Final Approval Comments:

Approved. - CD 8/13/2012

Part 2

ADDITIONAL DTEB DATA MAINTENANCE WORK REQUEST INFORMATION

DATE SUBMITTED: 07/25/2012

DM NUMBER: 1059

ITEM 1: DEVELOPMENT HISTORY

07/26/2012 13:40:40 Mr. Craig R. Matlock - Air Mobility Command - Abstain (no impact) : HQ AMC currently has no plans to implement the 315A IC.

07/26/2012 13:59:05 Mr. Gordon M. Allbritton - USAF/CMOS - Abstain (no impact) : CMOS does not use this IC

07/26/2012 14:15:50 Ms. Tina M. Woodbury - iSDDC - Approve :

08/02/2012 06:53:06 Mr. Wayne A. Howard - Defense Logistics Agency - Abstain (no impact) :

08/03/2012 09:23:35 Mr. Gregory (Greg) P. Doyle - SDDC - Approve :

08/06/2012 08:35:03 Ms. Rose M. McLeod - SDDC/IBS - Approve :

08/06/2012 12:19:03 Ms. Edith L. Winters - SDDC/GFM - Abstain (no impact) : EDI IC is not used by GFM.

08/06/2012 12:22:04 Mr. Gregory (Greg) R. Griffin - USN/FACTS - Abstain (no impact) :

08/10/2012 09:20:40 Ms. Heidi M. Daverede - DLMSO - Approve - with comments : 1. Suggest adding a change note to the B403 data element citing the DM number and date. 2. This DM states that the code is being added to the B403, when in actuality it physically is loaded into Section 6 of the IC. 3. Changing definitions for an existing code mid-stream may have an inadvertent impact on historical data. It would have been helpful to have guidance/explanation on how systems will segregate the data definitions when running reports/inquiries.

08/10/2012 14:28:30 Mr. Bradley (Brad) J. Long - USTC/IGC - Approve :

ITEM 2: DISPOSITION: (Identify the status of the DM request.)

Final Status: Approved

ITEM 4: IMPLEMENTATION HISTORY

Air Mobility Command :

USAF/CMOS :

iSDDC : 09/01/2012

Defense Logistics Agency : 09/01/2012

SDDC :

SDDC/IBS :

SDDC/GFM :

USN/FACTS :

DLMSO :

USTC/IGC :

ITEM 5: DATE OF IMPLEMENTATION

IC Publication Date:

IC Implementation Date: