

Part 1

DTEB DATA MAINTENANCE WORK REQUEST FORM

DATE SUBMITTED:

12/19/2013

DM NUMBER: 1130

USE THIS FORM TO REQUEST A NEW OR A CHANGE TO AN EXISTING DoD EDI CONVENTION OR ASC X12 STANDARD.

Complete all required actions. Numbered attachments may be used for continuation.

ITEM 1: SUBMITTER INFORMATION

If the submitter information below is incorrect contact:

Mr. David (Dave) Jimenez, transcom.scott.tej6.mbx.DTEB-Account@mail.mil, DSN 770-3896, Comm (618) 220-3896 to update.

NAME

Mr. Michael (Mike) T. Ashton

ORGANIZATION

SDDC-IMA-CD

Application Systems Affected:

Carrier's Information
System, GATES, IBS, IGC, LIDB
(LOGSA), Other,

EMAIL ADDRESS

michael.t.ashton.ctr@mail.mil

PHONE (DSN) 770-6765

PHONE (COMMERCIAL) (618) 220-6765

ITEM 2: REFERENCES

Identify either the DoD EDI convention or ASC X12 standard requiring change. If a new convention or standard is required, type "NEW" in place of the title.

Type

DoD EDI (C)onvention

TITLE:

(OCEAN) VERSION 5

DTEB 315.A.004010 STATUS DETAILS

ITEM 3: PROPOSED WORK

Identify the action required (add, change, delete) and describe the specific work requested to the convention of standard. For convention work, identify section, page number, affected item, and any other information that clarifies the request. For standard work, identify segment, segment position, segment attribute, data element, data element attribute, and any other information that clarifies the request. Acronyms/abbreviations must be spelled out. New codes must include an expanded code definition.

ACTION: Add

Description:

Section 3:

-In the N9 notes add a note stating: See section 9 for business rules and sample transactions in relation to the code 8X; The 8X Transaction Type Code Value Represents a Delete.

-Add code 8X in the N901 and input: Use '8X' to denote a Delete Transaction.

-Add note in the N902 which states: When reporting a Delete Transaction (code value '8X' in the N901), enter value 'D'.

-Change the N904 to conditional and add note: When reporting a Delete Transaction (code value '8X' in the N901), this is the date (expressed CCYYMMDD) the delete was sent. This date is required when sending a delete transaction.

-Change the N905 to conditional and add note: When reporting a Delete Transaction (code value '8X' in the N901), this is the time (expressed HHMM) the delete was sent. This time is required when sending a delete transaction.

Section 4:

-In the N9 notes add a note stating: See section 9 for business rules and sample transactions in relation to the code 8X; The 8X Transaction Type Code Value Represents a Delete.

-Add code 8X in the N901 and input: Use '8X' to denote a Delete Transaction.

-Add note in the N902 which states: When reporting a Delete Transaction (code value '8X' in the N901), enter value 'D'.

-Change the N904 to conditional and add note: When reporting a Delete Transaction (code value '8X' in the N901), this is the date (expressed CCYYMMDD) the delete was sent. This date is required when sending a delete transaction.

-Change the N905 to conditional and add note: When reporting a Delete Transaction (code value '8X' in the N901), this is the time (expressed HHMM) the delete was sent. This time is required when sending a delete transaction.

ITEM 4: REASON FOR CHANGE (business case)

Explain why you need the proposed change. Provide a complete scenario that tells what the business function, operation, or problem is that will be satisfied by a change to the convention. Technical assessment requires enough information to be able to propose an alternate solution, if necessary. Be specific. Use additional paper if necessary.

- An operational need has been identified by the government and the ocean carriers to be able to delete 315A messages. The 315A does not natively contain the ability to delete statuses sent in error. This change will allow ocean carriers to delete a 315A which was sent in error. This change will help improve the booking/payment/carrier performance processes as well as ITV data quality.

ITEM 5: PRIORITY/REQUIRED DATE

Identify priority and required date of change.

PRIORITY: (R) - Routine

Required by date: 05/01/2014

Administration change only. No DTEB vote required for approval.

Vote required.

ITEM 6: Reviewed

REVIEW ACTION: TRANSCOM Review

Comments:

Recommend release for member vote. 20 Dec 13 PGV

ITEM 7: Reviewed by TRANSCOM.

APPROVAL ACTION: Approved

Comments:

ITEM 8: Ask for an additional reviewer's inputs.

Don't ask an SME to review this DM.

Comments:

ITEM 9: Voting.

Voting Action:

Released for Voting

Due Date:

01/12/2014

Voting Comments:

Released for extended member vote period due to holidays. 20 Dec 13 PGV

ITEM 10: Final Approval.

Approval Action:

Approved

Final Approval Comments:

DM was given final approval at the 25 FEB 14 DTEB Committee meeting. 25 FEB 14 PGV

Part 2

ADDITIONAL DTEB DATA MAINTENANCE WORK REQUEST INFORMATION

DATE SUBMITTED: 12/19/2013

DM NUMBER: 1130

ITEM 1: DEVELOPMENT HISTORY

01/06/2014 04:15:00 Mr. Wayne A. Howard - Defense Logistics Agency - Abstain (no impact) :

01/06/2014 08:49:56 Mr. Gordon M. Allbritton - USAF/CMOS - Abstain (no impact) : CMOS does not use this IC.

01/07/2014 10:51:15 Ms. Rose M. McLeod - SDDC/IBS - Approve :

01/08/2014 09:11:45 Mr. Bret D. Cain - USTC/IGC - Approve :

01/09/2014 06:55:43 Ms. Heidi M. Daverede - DLMSO - Abstain (no impact) : While the basic concept proposed in DM 1130 is sound, we believe there are some issues/concerns with the business rules and example scenarios in DM 1130 that will need to be addressed to ensure effective implementation: 1. We believe that many of the primary senders of these delete transactions, commercial transportation carriers (particularly those who use a commercial VAN for EDI translation), will be unable to provide some of the data from the original status transactions in the format(s) required in DM 1130. For example, populating control numbers from the original transactions into the delete transactions as described in DM 1130 may be problematic, since commercial translators typically generate the Interchange, Group, and Transaction Set control numbers programmatically. 2. It's our understanding from the submitter that conveying the original date/time stamps in the ISA/GS envelope structure of the delete transaction was an error in the sample data. In that case, we would recommend the delete transaction be modified to convey the original date/time stamp elsewhere in the delete transaction in order for the receiver to find the original transaction(s). 3. If the aforementioned issues are unresolvable under the current DM 1130 proposal, SDDC may want to consider using a transaction that contains a BIN (Binary Data) segment, such as the 842. The original transaction to be deleted could be conveyed in the BIN segment. Very little other data is required in the 842, making the implementation fairly straightforward.

12/20/2013 09:19:51 Ms. Edith L. Winters - SDDC/GFM - Abstain (no impact) : GFM does not use the 315 IC. However GFM does the 214.A.004010. In comparing the two ICs, GFM found the 214 does not offer functionality to delete a previously transmitted status. Nor does the 214 use the N9 Segment. The proposed change has no impact on the GFM business process. But adding the

same functionality to the 214 definitely will.

12/23/2013 08:44:13 Ms. Pamela (Pam) J. Kroehler - USN/FACTS - Abstain (no impact) :

12/24/2013 13:43:59 Ms. Tina M. Woodbury - iSDDC - Approve :

12/30/2013 09:51:42 Mr. Craig R. Matlock - Air Mobility Command - Abstain (no impact) : No Impact

ITEM 2: DISPOSITION: (Identify the status of the DM request.)

Final Status: Approved

ITEM 4: IMPLEMENTATION HISTORY

Defense Logistics Agency : 05/01/2014

USAF/CMOS :

SDDC/IBS :

USTC/IGC :

DLMSO :

SDDC/GFM :

USN/FACTS :

iSDDC : 06/30/2014

Air Mobility Command :

ITEM 5: DATE OF IMPLEMENTATION

IC Publication Date:

IC Implementation Date:

UNCLASSIFIED