

Part 1

## DTEB DATA MAINTENANCE WORK REQUEST FORM

DATE SUBMITTED:

10/28/2014

DM NUMBER: 1154

USE THIS FORM TO REQUEST A NEW OR A CHANGE TO AN EXISTING DoD EDI CONVENTION OR ASC X12 STANDARD.

Complete all required actions. Numbered attachments may be used for continuation.

## ITEM 1: SUBMITTER INFORMATION

If the submitter information below is incorrect contact:

Mr. Thomas (Tom) M. Becker, transcom.scott.tcj6.mbx.DTEB-Account@mail.mil, DSN 770-4411, Comm (618) 220-4411 to update.

NAME

Mr. Michael (Mike) T. Ashton

ORGANIZATION

SDDC-IMA-CD

Application Systems Affected:

Carrier's Information System, IBS,

EMail ADDRESS

michael.t.ashton.ctr@mail.mil

PHONE (DSN) 770-6765

PHONE (COMMERCIAL) (618) 220-6765

## ITEM 2: REFERENCES

Identify either the DoD EDI convention or ASC X12 standard requiring change. If a new convention or standard is required, type "NEW" in place of the title.

Type

DoD EDI (C)onvention

TITLE:

DTEB 301.A.004010 CONFIRMATION (OCEAN) VERSION 3

## ITEM 3: PROPOSED WORK

Identify the action required (add, change, delete) and describe the specific work requested to the convention of standard. For convention work, identify section, page number, affected item, and any other information that clarifies the request. For standard work, identify segment, segment position, segment attribute, data element, data element attribute, and any other information that clarifies the request. Acronyms/abbreviations must be spelled out. New codes must include an expanded code definition.

ACTION: Add

Description:

For the N901 at index 21 add a code for V3 to denote DOD Voyage Document Number.

## ITEM 4: REASON FOR CHANGE (business case)

Explain why you need the proposed change. Provide a complete scenario that tells what the business function, operation, or problem is that will be satisfied by a change to the convention. Technical assessment requires enough information to be able to propose an alternate solution, if necessary. Be specific. Use additional paper if necessary.

This appears to be a mistake as the note for the section calls for V3 to be sent, but there is no V3 in the code list. This clears up that mistake.

ITEM 5: PRIORITY/REQUIRED DATE  
Identify priority and required date of change.

PRIORITY: (R) - Routine

Required by date: 11/10/2014

Administration change only. No DTEB vote required for approval.

Vote required.

ITEM 6: Reviewed

REVIEW ACTION: TRANSCOM Review

Comments:

Recommend release for member vote. 31 Oct 14 PGV

ITEM 7: Reviewed by TRANSCOM.

APPROVAL ACTION: Approved

Comments:

jdd- 11-4-14

ITEM 8: Ask for an additional reviewer's inputs.

Don't ask an SME to review this DM.

Comments:

ITEM 9: Voting.

Voting Action:

Released for Voting

Due Date:

11/19/2014

Voting Comments:

Released for member vote 05 Nov 14. PGV

ITEM 10: Final Approval.

Approval Action:

Approved

Final Approval Comments:

Approved by member vote 20 Nov 14. PGV

Part 2

ADDITIONAL DTEB DATA MAINTENANCE WORK REQUEST INFORMATION

DATE SUBMITTED: 10/28/2014

DM NUMBER: 1154

ITEM 1: DEVELOPMENT HISTORY

11/05/2014 07:44:36 Ms. Tina M. Woodbury - iSDDC - Abstain (no impact) :

11/05/2014 08:12:39 Mr. Gordon M. Allbritton - USAF/CMOS - Abstain (no impact) : CMOS does not use this IC.

11/05/2014 08:35:21 Ms. Sherry M. Verdu - SDDC - Approve :

11/05/2014 09:14:56 Mr. Wayne A. Howard - Defense Logistics Agency - Abstain (no impact) :

11/12/2014 08:52:09 Ms. Rose M. McLeod - SDDC/IBS - Approve :

11/12/2014 08:53:44 Ms. Edith L. Winters - SDDC/GFM - Abstain (no impact) : GFM does not use the DTEB 301.A.004010 CONFIRMATION (OCEAN) IC. The requested change has no

impact on GFM.

11/12/2014 10:38:08 Mr. Gregory (Greg) R. Griffin - USN/FACTS - Abstain (no impact) :

11/13/2014 08:21:42 Ms. Heidi M. Daverede - DLMSO - Abstain (no impact) : 1. Suggest the DTEB update the numbering scheme for the 301A to align with other DTEB ICs (e.g., 1-01 vice 1). 2. Fix the index numbering so that Section 3 and 4 of the IC are aligned. For example, in Section 3, the N901 is labeled as index 20; however, Section 4, shows it as index 21. 3. Suggest an additional note be conveyed in the N901 and V104 to explain that the voyage document number will appear twice and that care should be taken to ensure they match.

11/17/2014 07:39:23 Mr. Bret D. Cain - USTC/IGC - Abstain (no impact) :

11/19/2014 09:34:28 Mr. Craig R. Matlock - Air Mobility Command - Abstain (no impact) : No impact to Air GATES

ITEM 2: DISPOSITION: (Identify the status of the DM request.)

Final Status: Approved

ITEM 4: IMPLEMENTATION HISTORY

iSDDC :

USAF/CMOS :

SDDC :

Defense Logistics Agency : 11/10/2014

SDDC/IBS :

SDDC/GFM :

USN/FACTS :

DLMSO :

USTC/IGC :

Air Mobility Command :

ITEM 5: DATE OF IMPLEMENTATION

IC Publication Date:

IC Implementation Date: