

Part 1

DTEB DATA MAINTENANCE WORK REQUEST FORM

DATE SUBMITTED:

11/04/2015

DM NUMBER: 1211

USE THIS FORM TO REQUEST A NEW OR A CHANGE TO AN EXISTING DoD EDI CONVENTION OR ASC X12 STANDARD.

Complete all required actions. Numbered attachments may be used for continuation.

ITEM 1: SUBMITTER INFORMATION

If the submitter information below is incorrect contact:

Mr. Thomas (Tom) M. Becker, transcom.scott.tcj6.mbx.DTEB-Account@mail.mil, DSN 770-4411, Comm (618) 220-4411 to update.

NAME

Mr. Aaron T. Slaughter

ORGANIZATION

TCJ6-PE

Application Systems Affected:

CMOS,GATES,IGC,Other,

EMail ADDRESS

aaron.t.slaughter.ctr@mail.mil

PHONE (DSN) 770-5685

PHONE (COMMERCIAL) (618) 220-5685

ITEM 2: REFERENCES

Identify either the DoD EDI convention or ASC X12 standard requiring change. If a new convention or standard is required, type "NEW" in place of the title.

Type

DoD EDI (C)onvention

TITLE:

DTEB 858.M.004010 TRUCK-WATER-AIR
MANIFEST VERSION 3

ITEM 3: PROPOSED WORK

Identify the action required (add, change, delete) and describe the specific work requested to the convention of standard. For convention work, identify section, page number, affected item, and any other information that clarifies the request. For standard work, identify segment, segment position, segment attribute, data element, data element attribute, and any other information that clarifies the request. Acronyms/abbreviations must be spelled out. New codes must include an expanded code definition.

ACTION: Add

Description:

In the NTE segment at index [40] in data group 9800 add new NTE01, DE 363 as mandatory. Add the following X12 code and DOD definition:

Code == X12 Def == DOD Def

AAA == Agent Details == Onward Mode/Method Code

ITEM 4: REASON FOR CHANGE (business case)

Explain why you need the proposed change. Provide a complete scenario that tells what the business function, operation, or problem is that will be satisfied by a change to the convention. Technical assessment requires enough information to be able to propose an alternate solution, if necessary. Be specific. Use additional paper if necessary.

To maintain consistency in the IC - NTE segment is used multiple times in the IC, and every time except this the NTE02 value is qualified by a NTE01 value. This change was requested by the IGC developer in order to maintain consistent business rules/processing logic when developing the ETL to store these transactions. Version 3 of the 858M (current version on the DTEB site), directs that the NTE01 (code qualifier code) be used to qualify the NTE02 in all cases except one – at index 40-02 the NTE02 is used to provide an Onward Mode/Method Code without being qualified by a value in NTE01 (in other words, there is no index 40-01). Compare that with the other times the NTE02 is used to specify an onward mode/method code in the IC: index 81-01 directs using “AAA” to indicate that 81-02 contains the Onward Mode/Method Code; index 232-01 directs using “EMD” to indicate that 232-02 contains the Onward Mode/Method code. Furthermore, the IC specifies that the NTE01 is “required” (notices the “>>” next to it) but it is not being used at index 40 (there is no 40-01). Finally, this is the only instance I have ever run across where a code qualifier is omitted (i.e. the NTE segment, and other similar segments, typically contain “pairs” of values – the first being the code qualifier value, the second being the code that is subject to qualification). It is very unusual to have an NTE02 data element without an NTE01 being present.

ITEM 5: PRIORITY/REQUIRED DATE

Identify priority and required date of change.

PRIORITY: (R) - Routine

Required by date: 12/31/2015

Administration change only. No DTEB vote required for approval.

Vote required.

ITEM 6: Reviewed

REVIEW ACTION: TRANSCOM Review

Comments:

Recommend release for member vote 04 Nov 15. PGV

ITEM 7: Reviewed by TRANSCOM.

APPROVAL ACTION: Approved

Comments:

jdd//4 nov 15

ITEM 8: Ask for an additional reviewer's inputs.

Don't ask an SME to review this DM.

Comments:

ITEM 9: Voting.

Voting Action:

Released for Voting

Due Date:

11/19/2015

Voting Comments:

Released for member vote 04 Nov 15. PGV

ITEM 10: Final Approval.

Approval Action:

Approved

Final Approval Comments:
approved by member vote 11/20/2015 rwa

Part 2

ADDITIONAL DTEB DATA MAINTENANCE WORK REQUEST INFORMATION

DATE SUBMITTED: 11/04/2015

DM NUMBER: 1211

ITEM 1: DEVELOPMENT HISTORY

11/05/2015 12:26:52 Mr. Alonza A. Brown - DLA/DSS - Abstain (no impact) : DM does not impact DSS/VSM.

11/06/2015 07:58:04 Ms. Edith L. Winters - SDDC/GFM - Abstain (no impact) : GFM does not use the DTEB 858.M.004010 TRUCK-WATER-AIR MANIFEST VERSION 3. The codes specified in this DM item are not in conflict with those for the 858D and 858R.

11/10/2015 08:55:22 Mr. Gordon M. Allbritton - USAF/CMOS - Approve :

11/12/2015 07:53:48 Ms. Rose M. McLeod - SDDC/IBS - Abstain (no impact) :

11/12/2015 08:05:01 Mr. Lance R. Litteken - TRDM - Approve :

11/12/2015 08:34:30 Ms. Sherry M. Verdu - SDDC - Abstain (no impact) :

11/12/2015 13:54:40 Mr. Craig R. Matlock - Air Mobility Command - Approve : Air GATES Approves this DM

11/12/2015 15:30:33 Mr. Gregory (Greg) R. Griffin - USN/FACTS - Approve :

11/16/2015 11:36:39 Ms. Sylvia Williams - DLMSO - Abstain (no impact) : DLMSO completely supports the intent of making the implementation of the NTE segment consistent within the 858M. However, this proposed change perpetuates two major X12 compliance problems that currently exist in the 858M IC: 1) Two different codes (AAA and EMD) are used at different occurrences (indices 81-01 and 232-01 respectively) of the NTE segment in the 858M IC to convey Onward Mode/Method. This DM would also add AAA at index 40-01 to convey Onward Mode/Method. 2)One code, AAA, is also used in the NTE Segment of the 858M IC to convey two different meanings: 2. a) At index 186-01, AAA conveys PPGBL Origin Carrier Name, (Personal Property Government Bill of Lading), and 2. b) at index 81-01 (and 40-01 if this DM is approved) AAA conveys Onward Mode/Method. Both of these errors already currently exist in the approved 858M IC, so the implementation of this DM will perpetuate and compound the issue. DLMSO recommends that the DTEB committee addresses these qualifier conflicts in the 858M in the CVWG.

ITEM 2: DISPOSITION: (Identify the status of the DM request.)

Final Status: Approved

ITEM 4: IMPLEMENTATION HISTORY

DLA/DSS :

SDDC/GFM :

USAF/CMOS :

SDDC/IBS :

TRDM :

SDDC :

Air Mobility Command :

USN/FACTS :

DLMSO :

ITEM 5: DATE OF IMPLEMENTATION

IC Publication Date:

IC Implementation Date: