

<b>PART 1</b>		
<b>DTEB DATA MAINTENANCE WORK REQUEST FORM</b>		
DATE SUBMITTED: 09/10/2010	DM NUMBER (to be completed by LMI) 910	
USE THIS FORM TO REQUEST A NEW OR A CHANGE TO AN EXISTING DoD EDI CONVENTION OR ASC X12 STANDARD . Complete all required actions. Numbered attachments may be used for continuation.		
<b>ITEM 1: SUBMITTER INFORMATION</b>		
List information identifying the submitter of the proposed change.		
POINT OF CONTACT	ORGANIZATION	APPLICATION SYSTEM AFFECTED
Raney, Steve	LMI	CMOS, DAAS, DSS, FACTS, GATES, GFM, IGC, LIDB (LOGSA)
ADDRESS 508 Scott Drive  Scott AFB, IL 62225	PHONE (DSN) 779 PHONE (COMMERCIAL) 618-229-4819 PHONE (FAX)	
<b>ITEM 2: REFERENCES</b>		
Identify either the DoD EDI convention or ASC X12 standard requiring change. If a new convention or standard is required, type "NEW" in place of the title.		
DoD EDI (C)ONVENTION	Convention or Standard Title: 315N - Transportation Node Status Report Version: 4010	
<b>ITEM 3: PROPOSED WORK</b>		
Identify the action required (add, change, delete) and describe the specific work requested to the convention or standard. For convention work, identify section, page number, affected item, and any other information that clarifies the request. For standard work, identify segment, segment position, segment attribute, data element, data element attribute, and any other information that clarifies the request. Acronyms/abbreviations must be spelled out. New codes must include an expanded code definition.		
ACTION: Add	Description	
Add the following codes and user guidance to Section 6, APPLICATION CODE LIST, Event Code.		
DEC Deconsolidation Note: 9/xx/2010 Use this code to report a shipment unit deconsolidation, whether as a result of routine distribution point operations or because of en route transshipment requirements. This code normally will be used in conjunction with the DEL code to indicate that the consolidation shipment unit and its shipment unit identifier (normally a TCN) are no longer valid and the TCN has been removed from service.		
DEL Termination of a Shipment Unit and its Shipment Unit Identifier (normally TCN) Note: 9/xx/2010 Use this code to report the termination of a shipment unit and its shipment unit identifier for whatever reason. Transmission of this code indicates that the shipment unit and its shipment unit identifier are no longer valid and the TCN has been removed from service. Common reasons for using this code include: transportation closure via delivery to or pickup by the ultimate consignee (customer); termination of a previously reported consolidation shipment unit because of deconsolidation of the applicable load; modification and replacement of an original shipper shipment unit because of splitting by a port or other transshipper in accordance with the provisions of the Defense Transportation Regulation, Appendix L; shipment unit/TCN termination because of loss, damage, pilferage, etc. This code normally will be used in conjunction with other event code(s) to indicate the reason the shipment unit and shipment unit identifier are no longer valid and the TCN has been removed from service.		

**ITEM 4: REASON FOR CHANGE (business case)**

Explain why you need the proposed change. Provide a complete scenario that tells what the business function, operation, or problem is that will be satisfied by a change to the convention. Technical assessment requires enough information to be able to propose an alternate solution, if necessary. Be specific. Use additional paper if necessary.

These codes are required to: (a) very clearly and unambiguously communicate when a shipment unit is "broken," whether en route or at a distribution point, and (b) to clearly communicate when a TCN (or other shipment unit identifier) is no longer in service for whatever reason. The use of these codes in conjunction with the provisions of the Transportation Nodal Status CONOPS will enhance cargo ITV and status reporting and close the current "uncertainty gap" regarding when a TCN has been terminated and when further reporting on that TCN is in error. The use of these codes will, for the first time, provide an explicit mechanism for reporting shipment unit deconsolidation wherever it may occur in the transportation chain in a timely manner.

**ITEM 5: PRIORITY/REQUIRED DATE**

Identify priority and required date of change.

**PRIORITY: (R) - Routine**

**Required by date: 09/29/2010**

ITEM 6: Reviewed by LMI.

Comments:

John, recommend approval for vote. HG 9/10/10

ITEM 7: Reviewed by TRANSCOM.

Approval Action:

Approve

Comments:

13 Sep 10

Approve for vote...with my modifications in the change and reason blocks. Please carefully review my changes, and let me know if you disagree, before putting it out for vote. My changes have mostly to do with stressing the importance of terminating the shipment unit itself and well as the shipment unit identifier.

John G. Will, DEDO

Concur with all USTRANSCOM comments as written. SKR 9/13/10

ITEM 8: Voting.

Voting Action:

Release for Voting

Due Date:

09/27/2010

ITEM 9: Final Approval.

Approval Action:

Approved

PART 1

**DTEB DATA MAINTENANCE WORK REQUEST FORM**

DATE SUBMITTED: 09/10/2010

DM NUMBER 910

ITEM 1: DEVELOPMENT HISTORY

09/16/2010 ewinters - SDDC/GFM - Abstain (no impact) : <P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"> <FONT face=Consolas size=3> GFM does not have 315N capability, nor can it </FONT><FONT face=Consolas size=3>consolidate/de-consolidate TCNs.</FONT></P>

<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><?xml:namespace prefix = o ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:office" /><o:p><FONT face=Consolas size=3>&nbsp;</FONT></o:p></P>

<P class=MsoPlainText style="MARGIN: 0in 0in 0pt"><FONT face=Consolas size=3>Once work begins to implement the 315N,&nbsp;</FONT><FONT face=Consolas size=3>GFM will code to the most current </FONT><FONT face=Consolas size=3>315N </FONT><FONT face=Consolas size=3>IC at that time.</FONT></P>

09/20/2010 MDuncanGSA - GSA - Abstain (no impact) :

09/22/2010 mrcraw1 - HQ AMC/A4TI - Abstain (no impact) :

09/23/2010 james.wakeley - AF/A4LM - Approve - with comments : looking for CMOS PMO to concur / provide implementation date estimate.

09/23/2010 Ronnie.Broadfoot - USTCJ6-P - Approve - with comments : Would like to see the business rules for implementation.

09/23/2010 daveredehm - DLA Logistics Management Standards Office - Abstain (no impact) :

09/23/2010 Facts - NAVSISA - Approve :

09/30/2010 mcleodr - SDDC/IBS - Abstain (no impact) :

ITEM 2: DISPOSITION

Identify the status of the DM request (to be completed by DLMSO)

(N)ew (D)eferred (R)eferred (X)12 Approved (A)pproved (C)losed (W)ithdrawn

N)            D)            R)            X)            A)X            C)            W)

ITEM 4: IMPLEMENTATION STATUS

DFAS/DTRS:

SDDC/GFM:

SDDC/TOPS:

USAF/CMOS:

DLA/DSS:

AMC/GATES:

GSA/ASPA:

USN/FACTS:

SDDC/DTTS:

DFAS/CAPS:

SDDC/IBS:

MSC/IC3:

SDDC/WPS:

USA/TCAIMS:

USTC/GTN:

USMC/LOG:

USMC/MDSS:

ITEM 5: DATE OF IMPLEMENTATION

IC Publication Date:

IC Implementation Date