Part 1

DTEB DATA MAINTENANCE WORK REQUEST FORM

DATE SUBMITTED: 05/31/2012	DM NUMBER: 1051

USE THIS FORM TO REQUEST A NEW OR A CHANGE TO AN EXISTING DoD EDI CONVENTION OR ASC X12 STANDARD.
Complete all required actions. Numbered attachments may be used for continuation.

ITEM 1: SUBMITTER INFORMATION
If the submitter information below is incorrect contact:
Mr. David (Dave) Jimenez, USTC-DTEBAccount@ustranscom.mil, DSN 770-3896, Comm (618) 220-3896 to update.

NAME Ms. Nancy Lopez Cruz	ORGANIZATION SDDC-IMA-CD	Application Systems Affected: Carrier's Information System,IBS,Other,
EMail ADDRESS nancy.lopezcruz.ctr@mail.mil	PHONE (DSN) 770-5651 PHONE (COMMERCIAL) (618) 220-5651	

ITEM 2: REFERENCES
Identify either the DoD EDI convention or ASC X12 standard requiring change. If a new convention or standard is required, type "NEW" in place of the title.

Type DoD EDI (C)onvention	TITLE: DTEB 300.A.004010 RESERVATION (BOOKING) (OCEAN) VERSION 2

ITEM 3: PROPOSED WORK
Identify the action required (add, change, delete) and describe the specific work requested to the convention of standard. For convention work, identify section, page number, affected item, and any other information that clarifies the request. For standard work, identify segment, segment position, segment attribute, data element, data element attribute, and any other information that clarifies the request. Acronyms/abbreviations must be spelled out. New codes must include an expanded code definition.

ACTION: Add	Description:

At index 15-01 add the following codes with DOD definition provided:
Code - X12 Definition - DoD Definition
==
VCL - Van Cleaning - Wash-Clean-Purge-Certified
PUK - Pack and Unpack - Crating
KMD - Keep Material Dry - Dry Container Trucking

ITEM 4: REASON FOR CHANGE (business case)
Explain why you need the proposed change. Provide a complete scenario that tells what the business function, operation, or problem is that will be satified by a change to the convention. Technical assessment requires enought information to be able to propose an alternate solution, if necessary. Be specific. Use additional paper if necessary.

Addition of new accessorial codes to comply with SDDC and IBS implementation of accessorial charges and to support the USC-7 Contract

ITEM 5: PRIORITY/REQUIRED DATE
Identify priority and required date of change.

PRIORITY: (R) - Routine	Required by date: 07/1/2012
Administration change only. No DTEB vote required for approval.	Vote required.

ITEM 6: Reviewed

REVIEW ACTION: TRANSCOM Review

Comments:
Susan, Recommend approval of this DM item. - CD 6/15

ITEM 7: Reviewed by TRANSCOM.

APPROVAL ACTION: Approved

Comments:

ITEM 8: Ask for an additional reviewer's inputs.

Don't ask an SME to review this DM.

Comments:

ITEM 9: Voting.

Voting Action:	Released for Voting
Due Date:	07/03/2012

Voting Comments:
Released for member vote. PGV
Date pushed back due to website access issues. CRD 6/28

ITEM 10: Final Approval.

Approval Action:	Approved

Final Approval Comments:
Appreoved CRD 7/5/2012

Part 2

ADDITIONAL DTEB DATA MAINTENANCE WORK REQUEST INFORMATION

DATE SUBMITTED: 05/31/2012	DM NUMBER: 1051

ITEM 1: DEVELOPMENT HISTORY

06/18/2012 07:22:52 Ms. Rose M. McLeod - SDDC/IBS - Approve :
06/18/2012 08:40:52 Mr. Wayne A. Howard - Defense Logistics Agency - Abstain (no impact) : NA
06/20/2012 07:39:19 Mr. Gordon M. Allbritton - USAF/CMOS - Abstain (no impact) : CMOS does not use this IC

06/20/2012 17:24:31 Mr. Craig R. Matlock - Air Mobility Command - Abstain (no impact) : HQ AMC has no plans to implement the 300A IC.
06/25/2012 15:29:53 Ms. Tina M. Woodbury - iSDDC - Abstain (no impact) :
06/26/2012 07:56:25 Ms. Edith L. Winters - SDDC/GFM - Abstain (no impact) : This transaction set is not used by GFM.
06/28/2012 17:00:01 Ms. Heidi M. Daverede - DLMSO - Approve - with comments : 1) Be advised that the new codes actually go into Section 6 in support of the H301 segment at index 15-01. 2) Be advised that code VCL already appears in the list in section 6, so actually this DM is changing the DOD definition, not adding the code. Since the code already exists, will changing the definition have any kind of negative impact on historical data? 3) Suggest clarifying if the DOD definition for PUK applies to just HHG or non-HHG or both. The X12 Standard description of the code PUK includes the phrase "...as part of a Household Goods shipment."

ITEM 2: DISPOSITION: (Identify the status of the DM request.)

Final Status: Approved

ITEM 4: IMPLEMENTATION HISTORY

SDDC/IBS : 07/15/2012
Defense Logistics Agency :
USAF/CMOS :
Air Mobility Command :
iSDDC :
SDDC/GFM :
DLMSO :

ITEM 5: DATE OF IMPLEMENTATION

| IC Publication Date: | IC Implementation Date: |