

Part 1

DTEB DATA MAINTENANCE WORK REQUEST FORM

DATE SUBMITTED:

08/26/2013

DM NUMBER: 1122

USE THIS FORM TO REQUEST A NEW OR A CHANGE TO AN EXISTING DoD EDI CONVENTION OR ASC X12 STANDARD.

Complete all required actions. Numbered attachments may be used for continuation.

ITEM 1: SUBMITTER INFORMATION

If the submitter information below is incorrect contact:

Mr. David (Dave) Jimenez, transcom.scott.tcj6.mbx.DTEB-Account@mail.mil, DSN 770-3896, Comm (618) 220-3896 to update.

NAME

Ms. Nancy Lopez Cruz

ORGANIZATION

SDDC-IMA-CD

Application Systems Affected:

Carrier's Information System, IBS,

EMail ADDRESS

nancy.lopezcruz.ctr@mail.mil

PHONE (DSN) 770-5651

PHONE (COMMERCIAL) (618) 220-5651

ITEM 2: REFERENCES

Identify either the DoD EDI convention or ASC X12 standard requiring change. If a new convention or standard is required, type "NEW" in place of the title.

Type

DoD EDI (C)onvention

TITLE:

DTEB 301.A.004010 CONFIRMATION
(OCEAN) VERSION 0

ITEM 3: PROPOSED WORK

Identify the action required (add, change, delete) and describe the specific work requested to the convention of standard. For convention work, identify section, page number, affected item, and any other information that clarifies the request. For standard work, identify segment, segment position, segment attribute, data element, data element attribute, and any other information that clarifies the request. Acronyms/abbreviations must be spelled out. New codes must include an expanded code definition.

ACTION: Change

Description:

Change Section 3.0 Ref. Des. B104 Data Element 558 note from "For code value 'C' a counter proposal can ONLY be made for a change in vessel or a change in port." to read "For code value 'C' a counter proposal can ONLY be made for a change in vessel, port or Required Delivery Date (RDD)."

Change Section 4.0 Index 7 note from "For code value 'C' a counter proposal can ONLY be made for a change in vessel or a change in port." to read "For code value 'C' a counter proposal can ONLY be made for a change in vessel, port or Required Delivery Date (RDD)."

ITEM 4: REASON FOR CHANGE (business case)

Explain why you need the proposed change. Provide a complete scenario that tells what the business function, operation, or problem is that will be satisfied by a change to the convention. Technical assessment requires enough information to be able to propose an alternate solution, if necessary. Be specific. Use additional paper if necessary.

The business rules allow for the use of the EDI 301 to convey counteroffers from the carrier for vessel, port or RDD but the IC note restricts to only vessel or port. This change to the IC will align the notes since the IC already allows for the Counter in RDD in the DTM segment.

ITEM 5: PRIORITY/REQUIRED DATE

Identify priority and required date of change.

PRIORITY: (R) - Routine

Required by date: 09/06/2013

Administration change only. No DTEB vote required for approval.

Vote required.

ITEM 6: Reviewed

REVIEW ACTION: TRANSCOM Review

Comments:

Recommend release for member vote. 27 Aug 13 PGV

ITEM 7: Reviewed by TRANSCOM.

APPROVAL ACTION: Approved

Comments:

ITEM 8: Ask for an additional reviewer's inputs.

Don't ask an SME to review this DM.

Comments:

ITEM 9: Voting.

Voting Action:

Released for Voting

Due Date:

09/10/2013

Voting Comments:

Released for member vote. 27 Aug 13 PGV

ITEM 10: Final Approval.

Approval Action:

Approved

Final Approval Comments:

Approved by member vote. 11 Sep 13 PGV

Part 2**ADDITIONAL DTEB DATA MAINTENANCE WORK REQUEST INFORMATION**

DATE SUBMITTED: 08/26/2013

DM NUMBER: 1122

ITEM 1: DEVELOPMENT HISTORY

08/27/2013 07:44:55 Mr. Gordon M. Allbritton - USAF/CMOS - Abstain (no impact) : CMOS does not use this IC.

08/27/2013 08:41:21 Ms. Edith L. Winters - SDDC/GFM - Abstain (no impact) : GFM has verified that the requested changes, to the Ref. Des. B104 Data Element 558, do not appear to conflict with the ICs used to support our business process -- specifically the 214, 219, 220, 404, 601, 824A, 824Z, 858D, and 858R. Therefore GFM has chosen to abstain.

08/27/2013 10:15:57 Mr. Craig R. Matlock - Air Mobility Command - Abstain (no impact) : Air GATES has no plans to implement this DM

09/03/2013 07:30:26 Mr. Bret D. Cain - USTC/IGC - Abstain (no impact) :

09/03/2013 07:30:45 Ms. Rose M. McLeod - SDDC/IBS - Approve :

09/04/2013 07:16:47 Mr. Gregory (Greg) P. Doyle - SDDC - Approve :

09/05/2013 07:59:24 Ms. Pamela (Pam) J. Kroehler - USN/FACTS - Abstain (no impact) :

09/10/2013 15:27:00 Ms. Heidi M. Daverede - DLMSO - Abstain (no impact) : Be advised that there is a typo in the DM at Item 4: REASON FOR CHANGE. It says EDI 310 vice EDI 301.

ITEM 2: DISPOSITION: (Identify the status of the DM request.)

Final Status: Approved

ITEM 4: IMPLEMENTATION HISTORY

USAF/CMOS :

SDDC/GFM :

Air Mobility Command :

USTC/IGC :

SDDC/IBS :

SDDC :

USN/FACTS :

DLMSO :

ITEM 5: DATE OF IMPLEMENTATION

IC Publication Date:

IC Implementation Date:

UNCLASSIFIED