## UNCLASSIFIED

Part 1			
DTEB DATA MAINTENANCE WORK REQUEST FORM			
DATE SUBMITTED: 02/07/2014	DM NUMBER: 113	3	
USE THIS FORM TO REQUEST A NEW OR A CHANGE TO AN EXISTING DoD EDI CONVENTION OR ASC X12 STANDARD. Complete all required actions. Numbered attachments may be used for continuation.			
ITEM 1: SUBMITTER INFORMATION If the submitter information below is incorrect contact: Mr. David (Dave) Jimenez, transcom.scott.tcj6.mbx.DTEB-Account@mail.mil, DSN 770-3896, Comm (618) 220-3896 to update.			
NAME Ms. Nancy Lopez Cruz	ORGANIZATION SDDC-IMA-CD	Application Systems Affected: Carrier's Information System, IBS, Other,	
EMail ADDRESS nancy.lopezcruz.ctr@mail.mil	PHONE (DSN) 770-5651 PHONE (COMMERCIAL) (618) 220-5651		
ITEM 2: REFERENCES Identify either the DoD EDI convention or ASC X12 standard requiring change. If a new convention or standard is required, type "NEW" in place of the title.			
Type DoD EDI (C)onvention	TITLE: D (BOOKING) (OCE)	TEB 300.A.004010 RESERVATION AN) VERSION 5	
ITEM 3: PROPOSED WORK Identify the action required (add, change, delete) and describe the specific work requested to the convention of standard. For convention work, identify section, page number, affected item, and any other information that clarifies the request. For standard work, identify segment, segment position, segment attribute, data element, data element attribute, and any other information that clarifies the request. Acronyms/abbreviations must be spelled out. New codes must include an expanded code definition.			
ACTION: Add Description:			
At Section 3 Ref H301 Data Element 152 and Section 6.0 Application Code Lists for index 15-01 Special Handling Code add the following X12 approved codes with DOD definitions: Code == X12 Definition == DoD Definition SSN == Substitute Service Not to be Used == Origin Special Services - Afghanistan			
ITEM 4: REASON FOR CHANGE (business case) Explain why you need the proposed change. Provide a complete scenario that tells what the business function, operation, or problem is that will be satifified by a change to the convention. Technical assessment requires enought information to be able to propose an alternate solution, if necessary. Be specific. Use additional paper if necessary.			

DOD definitions will allow users to support functional requirements as described modification to the US-7 contract. Currently there are no actual exhanges using these codes.			
ITEM 5: PRIORITY/REQUIRED DATE Identify priority and required date of change.			
PRIORITY: (R) - Routine	Required by date: 02/28/2014		
Administration change only. No DTEB vote required for approval.	Vote required.		
ITEM 6: Reviewed			
REVIEW ACTION: TRANSCOM Review			
Comments: Recommend release for member vote. 10 FEB 14 PGV			
ITEM 7: Reviewed by TRANSCOM.			
APPROVAL ACTION: Approved			
Comments: Recommend release for vote.			
ITEM 8: Ask for an additional reviewer's inputs.			
Don't ask an SME to review this DM.			
Comments:			
ITEM 9: Voting.			
Voting Action:	Released for Voting		
Due Date:	02/24/2014		
Voting Comments: Released for member vote 10 FEB 14 PGV			
ITEM 10: Final Approval.			
Approval Action:	Approved		
Final Approval Comments: Approved by member vote. 25 FEB 14 PGV			
Part 2			
ADDITIONAL DTEB DATA MAINTENANCE WORK REQUEST INFORMATION			
DATE SUBMITTED: 02/07/2014	DM NUMBER: 1133		
ITEM 1: DEVELOPMENT HISTORY			
02/10/2014 08:23:53 Ms. Tina M. Woodbury - iSDDC - Abstain (no impact) : 02/10/2014 09:16:42 Ms. Pamela (Pam) J. Kroehler - USN/FACTS - Abstain (no impact) : 02/10/2014 13:39:30 Mr. Gordon M. Allbritton - USAF/CMOS - Abstain (no impact) : CMOS does not use this IC. 02/10/2014 13:44:45 Mr. Wayne A. Howard - Defense Logistics Agency - Abstain (no impact) :			

02/19/2014 15:46:15 Ms. Heidi M. Daverede - DLMSO - Abstain (no impact) : 02/20/2014 06:53:25 Ms. Edith L. Winters - SDDC/GFM - Do not approve : Attempted to research the US-7 Contract to determine if and how the suggested change will impact GFM. Because we unable to locate any info on the subject, request a resource we can consult.

02/20/2014 07:53:52 Mr. Peter G. Varone - SDDC/GFM - Abstain (no impact) : The below comments have been resolved and GFM changes vote to 'Abstain (no impact)'. Attempted to research the US-7 Contract to determine if and how the suggested change will impact GFM. Because we unable to locate any info on the subject, request a resource we can consult.

02/20/2014 08:39:48 Ms. Rose M. McLeod - SDDC/IBS - Approve :

02/20/2014 14:10:58 Mr. Craig R. Matlock - Air Mobility Command - Abstain (no impact) : No Impact on Air GATES

02/20/2014 15:04:17 Ms. Sherry M. Verdu - SDDC - Approve :

ITEM 2: DISPOSITION: (Identify the status of the DM request.)

Final Status: Approved

ITEM 4: IMPLEMENTATION HISTORY

iSDDC :

USN/FACTS : USAF/CMOS : Defense Logistics Agency : 02/28/2014 DLMSO : SDDC/GFM : SDDC/GFM : SDDC/IBS : Air Mobility Command :

SDDC :

ITEM 5: DATE OF IMPLEMENTATION

IC Publication Date:

IC Implementation Date:

UNCLASSIFIED