

Part 1

DTEB DATA MAINTENANCE WORK REQUEST FORM

DATE SUBMITTED:

10/29/2014

DM NUMBER: 1155

USE THIS FORM TO REQUEST A NEW OR A CHANGE TO AN EXISTING DoD EDI CONVENTION OR ASC X12 STANDARD.

Complete all required actions. Numbered attachments may be used for continuation.

ITEM 1: SUBMITTER INFORMATION

If the submitter information below is incorrect contact:

Mr. Thomas (Tom) M. Becker, transcom.scott.tcj6.mbx.DTEB-Account@mail.mil, DSN 770-4411, Comm (618) 220-4411 to update.

NAME

Mr. Michael (Mike) T.
Ashton

ORGANIZATION

SDDC-IMA-CD

Application Systems Affected:

Carrier's Information System, IBS,

EMail ADDRESS

michael.t.ashton.ctr@mail.mil

PHONE (DSN) 770-6765

PHONE (COMMERCIAL) (618) 220-6765

ITEM 2: REFERENCES

Identify either the DoD EDI convention or ASC X12 standard requiring change. If a new convention or standard is required, type "NEW" in place of the title.

Type

DoD EDI (C)onvention

TITLE:

DTEB 301.A.004010 CONFIRMATION
(OCEAN) VERSION 3

ITEM 3: PROPOSED WORK

Identify the action required (add, change, delete) and describe the specific work requested to the convention of standard. For convention work, identify section, page number, affected item, and any other information that clarifies the request. For standard work, identify segment, segment position, segment attribute, data element, data element attribute, and any other information that clarifies the request. Acronyms/abbreviations must be spelled out. New codes must include an expanded code definition.

ACTION: Add

Description:

Currently the R4 segment note says "The information in this Data Segment should be the same as that transmitted in the TS 300. There should be an R4 loop for the POD and POE. The information in this R4 Segment should be the same as that transmitted in the 300A IC. Use this segment one time for the POE and one time for the POD."

This note should be updated to say "The information in this Data Segment should be the same as that transmitted in the TS 300 EXCEPT for counter offers. If a counter offer is made for the POE, then the counter POE value should be provided. If the counter offer is made for the POD, then the counter POD should be provided. A counter offer for both POE and POD is NOT allowed. There should be an R4 loop for the POD and POE. Use this segment one time for the POE and one time for the POD."

ITEM 4: REASON FOR CHANGE (business case)

Explain why you need the proposed change. Provide a complete scenario that tells what the business function, operation, or problem is that will be satisfied by a change to the convention. Technical assessment requires enough information to be able to propose an alternate solution, if necessary. Be specific. Use additional paper if necessary.

The current note provides false information for a counter offer situation where the carrier wants to change the POE or POD with the 301A. This change updates the note to allow for the change of a POE or POD within the 301A.

ITEM 5: PRIORITY/REQUIRED DATE

Identify priority and required date of change.

PRIORITY: (R) - Routine

Required by date: 11/20/2014

Administration change only. No DTEB vote required for approval.

Vote required.

ITEM 6: Reviewed

REVIEW ACTION: TRANSCOM Review

Comments:

Recommend release for member vote. 31 Oct 14 PGV

ITEM 7: Reviewed by TRANSCOM.

APPROVAL ACTION: Approved

Comments:

jdd/ 11-4-14

ITEM 8: Ask for an additional reviewer's inputs.

Don't ask an SME to review this DM.

Comments:

ITEM 9: Voting.

Voting Action:

Released for Voting

Due Date:

11/19/2014

Voting Comments:

Released for member vote 05 Nov 14. PGV

ITEM 10: Final Approval.

Approval Action:

Approved

Final Approval Comments:

Approved by member vote 20 Nov 14. PGV

Part 2**ADDITIONAL DTEB DATA MAINTENANCE WORK REQUEST INFORMATION**

DATE SUBMITTED: 10/29/2014

DM NUMBER: 1155

ITEM 1: DEVELOPMENT HISTORY

11/05/2014 07:45:48 Ms. Tina M. Woodbury - iSDDC - Abstain (no impact) :

11/05/2014 08:13:03 Mr. Gordon M. Allbritton - USAF/CMOS - Abstain (no impact) : CMOS does not use this IC.

11/05/2014 08:36:13 Ms. Sherry M. Verdu - SDDC - Approve :

11/05/2014 09:14:04 Mr. Wayne A. Howard - Defense Logistics Agency - Abstain (no impact) :

11/06/2014 07:17:31 Ms. Edith L. Winters - SDDC/GFM - Abstain (no impact) : GFM does not use the 301.A.004010 CONFIRMATION (OCEAN) IC. The requested change does not impact the ICs we currently use.

11/12/2014 08:53:28 Ms. Rose M. McLeod - SDDC/IBS - Approve :

11/12/2014 10:37:02 Mr. Gregory (Greg) R. Griffin - USN/FACTS - Abstain (no impact) :

11/13/2014 09:00:36 Ms. Heidi M. Daverede - DLMSO - Abstain (no impact) :

11/17/2014 07:38:41 Mr. Bret D. Cain - USTC/IGC - Abstain (no impact) :

11/19/2014 09:37:05 Mr. Craig R. Matlock - Air Mobility Command - Abstain (no impact) : No impact to Air GATES

ITEM 2: DISPOSITION: (Identify the status of the DM request.)

Final Status: Approved

ITEM 4: IMPLEMENTATION HISTORY

iSDDC :

USAF/CMOS :

SDDC :

Defense Logistics Agency : 11/20/2014

SDDC/GFM :

SDDC/IBS :

USN/FACTS :

DLMSO :

USTC/IGC :

Air Mobility Command :

ITEM 5: DATE OF IMPLEMENTATION

IC Publication Date:

IC Implementation Date: