

Part 1

DTEB DATA MAINTENANCE WORK REQUEST FORM

DATE SUBMITTED:

04/02/2015

DM NUMBER: 1170

USE THIS FORM TO REQUEST A NEW OR A CHANGE TO AN EXISTING DoD EDI CONVENTION OR ASC X12 STANDARD.

Complete all required actions. Numbered attachments may be used for continuation.

ITEM 1: SUBMITTER INFORMATION

If the submitter information below is incorrect contact:

Mr. Thomas (Tom) M. Becker, transcom.scott.tcj6.mbx.DTEB-Account@mail.mil, DSN 770-4411, Comm (618) 220-4411 to update.

NAME

Ms. Sherry M. Verdu

ORGANIZATION

SDDC-G6-IMA

Application Systems Affected:

Carrier's Information
System, GATES, IBS, IGC, LIDB
(LOGSA), Other,

EMAIL ADDRESS

sherry.m.verdu.civ@mail.mil

PHONE (DSN) 770-5781

PHONE (COMMERCIAL) (618) 220-5781

ITEM 2: REFERENCES

Identify either the DoD EDI convention or ASC X12 standard requiring change. If a new convention or standard is required, type "NEW" in place of the title.

Type

DoD EDI (C)onvention

TITLE:

DTEB 315.A.004010 STATUS DETAILS
(OCEAN) VERSION 8

ITEM 3: PROPOSED WORK

Identify the action required (add, change, delete) and describe the specific work requested to the convention of standard. For convention work, identify section, page number, affected item, and any other information that clarifies the request. For standard work, identify segment, segment position, segment attribute, data element, data element attribute, and any other information that clarifies the request. Acronyms/abbreviations must be spelled out. New codes must include an expanded code definition.

ACTION: Add

Description:

At index 2-03 in the B403 DE 157 Shipment Status Code and in Sections 3 & 6 provide the following usage note for the Shipment Status Code of 'EC':

Code==X12 Definition==DoD Definition

EC==Empty Container Available for Pickup at Consignee Location==Return of Empty Container to Contractor Prior to Delivery

ITEM 4: REASON FOR CHANGE (business case)

Explain why you need the proposed change. Provide a complete scenario that tells what the business function, operation, or problem is that will be satisfied by a change to the convention. Technical assessment requires enough information to be able to propose an alternate solution, if necessary. Be specific. Use additional paper if necessary.

Usage to conform with operational requirements as per USC-08 contract.

ITEM 5: PRIORITY/REQUIRED DATE

Identify priority and required date of change.

PRIORITY: (R) - Routine

Required by date: 09/30/2015

Administration change only. No DTEB vote required for approval.

Vote required.

ITEM 6: Reviewed

REVIEW ACTION: TRANSCOM Review

Comments:

Recommend release for member vote 4/03/2015 MRJ

ITEM 7: Reviewed by TRANSCOM.

APPROVAL ACTION: Approved

Comments:

jdd/4/7/15

ITEM 8: Ask for an additional reviewer's inputs.

Don't ask an SME to review this DM.

Comments:

ITEM 9: Voting.

Voting Action:

Released for Voting

Due Date:

04/22/2015

Voting Comments:

Released for member vote 07 Apr 15. PGV

ITEM 10: Final Approval.

Approval Action:

Approved

Final Approval Comments:

Approved by member vote 23 Apr 15. MRJ

Part 2

ADDITIONAL DTEB DATA MAINTENANCE WORK REQUEST INFORMATION

DATE SUBMITTED: 04/02/2015

DM NUMBER: 1170

ITEM 1: DEVELOPMENT HISTORY

04/07/2015 10:35:56 Mr. Wayne A. Howard - Defense Logistics Agency - Abstain (no impact) :
 04/07/2015 11:14:24 Ms. Tina M. Woodbury - iSDDC - Abstain (no impact) :
 04/07/2015 11:44:43 Ms. Edith L. Winters - SDDC/GFM - Abstain (no impact) : GFM does not exchange data using the 315.A.004010 IC. We see no conflict between the requested change and the ICs GFM now uses.
 04/08/2015 13:48:15 Mr. Gordon M. Allbritton - USAF/CMOS - Abstain (no impact) : CMOS does not use this IC.
 04/10/2015 08:39:54 Ms. Sherry M. Verdu - SDDC - Approve :
 04/15/2015 10:31:58 Ms. Rose M. McLeod - SDDC/IBS - Approve :
 04/15/2015 14:01:18 Mr. Craig R. Matlock - Air Mobility Command - Abstain (no impact) : No Impact to Air GATES
 04/22/2015 08:39:36 Ms. Heidi M. Daverede - DLMSO - Abstain (no impact) : 1. It's our understanding that the primary intent was to fix the X12 definition for EC cited in the IC and to better align the DOD definition with that cited in the USC 08 contract. We concur with that fix. 2. We do, however, recommend that SDDC revisit the wording of the DoD definition ("Return of Empty Container to Contractor Prior to Delivery") for the code EC. Returning an empty container prior to its delivery does not seem to make logical sense.

ITEM 2: DISPOSITION: (Identify the status of the DM request.)

Final Status: Approved

ITEM 4: IMPLEMENTATION HISTORY

Defense Logistics Agency : 09/30/2015
 iSDDC :
 SDDC/GFM :
 USAF/CMOS :
 SDDC :
 SDDC/IBS :
 Air Mobility Command :
 DLMSO :

ITEM 5: DATE OF IMPLEMENTATION

IC Publication Date:

IC Implementation Date: