

## Part 1

## DTEB DATA MAINTENANCE WORK REQUEST FORM

DATE SUBMITTED:

10/06/2015

DM NUMBER: 1205

USE THIS FORM TO REQUEST A NEW OR A CHANGE TO AN EXISTING DoD EDI CONVENTION OR ASC X12 STANDARD.

Complete all required actions. Numbered attachments may be used for continuation.

## ITEM 1: SUBMITTER INFORMATION

If the submitter information below is incorrect contact:

Mr. Thomas (Tom) M. Becker, transcom.scott.tcj6.mbx.DTEB-Account@mail.mil, DSN 770-4411, Comm (618) 220-4411 to update.

NAME

Mr. Peter G. Varone

ORGANIZATION

TCJ6-SA

Application Systems Affected:

All, Carrier's Information System, DSS, GFM,

Email ADDRESS

peter.g.varone.ctr@mail.mil

PHONE (DSN) 770-4441

PHONE (COMMERCIAL) (618) 220-4441

## ITEM 2: REFERENCES

Identify either the DoD EDI convention or ASC X12 standard requiring change. If a new convention or standard is required, type "NEW" in place of the title.

Type

DoD EDI (C)onvention

TITLE:

DTEB 220.D.004010 3RD PARTY LOGISTICS  
TRANSPORTATION SERVICE RESPONSE VERSION 1

## ITEM 3: PROPOSED WORK

Identify the action required (add, change, delete) and describe the specific work requested to the convention of standard. For convention work, identify section, page number, affected item, and any other information that clarifies the request. For standard work, identify segment, segment position, segment attribute, data element, data element attribute, and any other information that clarifies the request. Acronyms/abbreviations must be spelled out. New codes must include an expanded code definition.

ACTION: Add

Description:

In the B902, data element 353, at index [2-02] add the code '44 – Rejection' with DoD usage note that reads "Use '44' to denote Refusal of Service".

Add new NTE01, data element 363, at index [8-01] with DoD definition of "Refusal of Service Qualifier"; add the code 'RPT - Report Remarks' with a DoD usage note that reads "Use 'RPT' to denote Refusal of Service Comments. Required and only used when B902 equals '44'".

Add new NTE02, data element 352, at index [8-02] with DoD definition of "Refusal of Service Comments"; add DoD usage note that reads "Required and only used when B902 equals '44'. Used to pass the text description of the reason for refusal of service to be provided by the 3rd Party Logistics Coordinator".

**ITEM 4: REASON FOR CHANGE (business case)**

Explain why you need the proposed change. Provide a complete scenario that tells what the business function, operation, or problem is that will be satisfied by a change to the convention. Technical assessment requires enough information to be able to propose an alternate solution, if necessary. Be specific. Use additional paper if necessary.

Allows 3PL providers to refuse a shipment IAW contractual agreements. This DM is to be applied to the 220D version 1 as there are no current implementations of that IC.

**ITEM 5: PRIORITY/REQUIRED DATE**

Identify priority and required date of change.

PRIORITY: (R) - Routine

Required by date: 10/23/2015

Administration change only. No DTEB vote required for approval.

Vote required.

**ITEM 6: Reviewed**

REVIEW ACTION: TRANSCOM Review

Comments:

Recommend release to member vote 06 OCT 15 MRJ

**ITEM 7: Reviewed by TRANSCOM.**

APPROVAL ACTION: Approved

Comments:

jdd/10-8-15

**ITEM 8: Ask for an additional reviewer's inputs.**

Don't ask an SME to review this DM.

Comments:

**ITEM 9: Voting.**

Voting Action:

Released for Voting

Due Date:

10/23/2015

Voting Comments:

Released for Member Vote 08 Oct 15 MRJ

**ITEM 10: Final Approval.**

Approval Action:

Approved

Final Approval Comments:

Approved by member vote 23 Oct 15. PGV

**Part 2****ADDITIONAL DTEB DATA MAINTENANCE WORK REQUEST INFORMATION**

DATE SUBMITTED: 10/06/2015

DM NUMBER: 1205

## ITEM 1: DEVELOPMENT HISTORY

10/08/2015 12:33:27 Mr. Alonza A. Brown - DLA/DSS - Approve - with comments : DSS/VSM will need to recognize the new "Refusal" code and will store the comments with the 220D info.  
10/14/2015 13:39:50 Ms. Sylvia Williams - DLMSO - Abstain (no impact) : - Some of the wording seems not very clear regarding the new instance of the NTE segment between DTEB indexes 7 and 8, and the added new borrowed code that is to be used in the NTE01 (1/NTE01/070) of that new segment (if we have understood the request correctly) - e.g. it could be misunderstood in a way that the current index 8 (LCD) should be replaced by the new NTE01. - X12 standard limits the total number of NTE segments to 10; will adding this new instance of the NTE still keep the total number below 10?  
10/16/2015 07:05:52 Ms. Edith L. Winters - SDDC/GFM - Approve :  
10/16/2015 08:32:45 Mr. Craig R. Matlock - Air Mobility Command - Abstain (no impact) : No Impact to Air GATES  
10/16/2015 12:14:50 Ms. Rose M. McLeod - SDDC/IBS - Abstain (no impact) :  
10/19/2015 10:31:45 Ms. Sherry M. Verdu - SDDC - Approve :

ITEM 2: DISPOSITION: (Identify the status of the DM request.)

Final Status: Approved

## ITEM 4: IMPLEMENTATION HISTORY

DLA/DSS :  
DLMSO :  
SDDC/GFM :  
Air Mobility Command :  
SDDC/IBS :  
SDDC :

## ITEM 5: DATE OF IMPLEMENTATION

IC Publication Date:

IC Implementation Date: