Part 1

## DTEB DATA MAINTENANCE WORK REQUEST FORM

DATE SUBMITTED:

DM NUMBER: 1251

03/17/2017

USE THIS FORM TO REQUEST A NEW OR A CHANGE TO AN EXISTING DOD EDI CONVENTION OR ASC X12 STANDARD.

Complete all required actions. Numbered attachments may be used for continuation.

### ITEM 1: SUBMITTER INFORMATION

If the submitter information below is incorrect contact:

Mr. Thomas (Tom) M. Becker, transcom.scott.tcj6.mbx.DTEB-Account@mail.mil, DSN 770-4411, Comm (618) 220-4411 to update.

NAME

ORGANIZATION | Application Systems Affected:

Mr. Aaron T. Slaughter

TCJ6-PE

IGC.

EMail ADDRESS

PHONE (DSN) 770-5685

aaron.t.slaughter.ctr@mail.mil PHONE (COMMERCIAL) (618) 220-5685

### ITEM 2: REFERENCES

Identify either the DoD EDI convention or ASC X12 standard requiring change. If a new convention or standard is required, type "NEW" in place of the title.

Type

TITLE:

DTEB 214.A.004010 MOTOR CARRIER

DoD EDI (C)onvention

SHIPMENT STATUS VERSION 4

#### ITEM 3: PROPOSED WORK

Identify the action required (add, change, delete) and describe the specific work requested to the convention of standard. For convention work, identify section, page number, affected item, and any other information that clarifies the request. For standard work, identify segment, segment position, segment attribute, data element, data element attribute, and any other information that clarifies the request. Acronyms/abbreviations must be spelled out. New codes must include an expanded code definition.

ACTION: Add

Description:

In the L11 segment at index 21 change the segment condition note to read: "SEGMENT CONDITION: Carriers are encouraged to provide either status location, SPLC, Aerial Port, or postal code data where available. This segment may occur once per shipment status event (LX Loop)."

In the L1101, DE127, at index 21-01 change DOD implementation note to read: "SPLC, Aerial Port, or Postal Code (DG110)"

In the L1102, DE128, at index 21-02 add the following X12 codes and DOD implementation notes: Code value '4L - Location-specific Services Reference Number', DOD implementation note: "Use '4L' to denote International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) code of the location reported in the MS101 for events occurring at an airport"

Code value 'ZZ – Mutually Defined', DOD implementation note: "Use 'ZZ' to denote International

Air Transport Association (IATA) code of the location reported in the MS101 for events occurring at an airport"

# ITEM 4: REASON FOR CHANGE (business case)

Explain why you need the proposed change. Provide a complete scenario that tells what the business function, operation, or problem is that will be satisfied by a change to the convention. Technical assessment requires enought information to be able to propose an alternate solution, if necessary. Be specific. Use additional paper if necessary.

City name is not very useful for identifying a location for a transportation event. For example, a carrier reporting a 214A event at "New York" leaves the IGC user with inadequate location information to determine ITV. When an air carrier is reporting an event at an airport, it is very likely that they know the airport code that the event is occurring at. That information would be preferred over a city name by IGC.

-	-	
ITEM 5: PRIORITY/REQUIRED DATE		
Identify priority and required date of change.		
PRIORITY: (R) - Routine	Required by date: 04/30/2017	
Administration change only. No DTEB vote required for approval.	Vote required.	
ITEM 6: Reviewed		
REVIEW ACTION: TRANSCOM Review		
Comments: 29 Mar 17 UPDATE: SME review completed, recommend release for member vote. pgv 17 Mar 17 UPDATE: DM is being held from release pending additional SME review. Submitter will follow up with additional input after review. pgv		
ITEM 7: Reviewed by TRANSCOM.		
APPROVAL ACTION: Approved		
Comments: jdd/3-30-17		
ITEM 8: Ask for an additional reviewer's inputs.		
Don't ask an SME to review this DM.		
Comments:		
ITEM 9: Voting.		
Voting Action:	Released for Voting	
Due Date:	04/14/2017	
Voting Comments: Released for member vote 30 Mar 17. pgv		
ITEM 10: Final Approval.		
Approval Action:	Approved	

Final Approval Comments: Approved by member vote 17 Apr 17. pgv		
Part 2		
ADDITIONAL DTEB DATA MAINTENANCE WORK REQUEST INFORMATION		
DATE SUBMITTED: 03/17/2017	DM NUMBER: 1251	
ITEM 1: DEVELOPMENT HISTORY		
03/30/2017 13:09:25 Mr. Lance R. Litteken - TRDM - Approve: 03/30/2017 15:34:42 Mr. Craig R. Matlock - Air Mobility Command - Abstain (no impact): No impact to Air GATES 03/31/2017 07:08:44 Ms. Rose M. McLeod - SDDC/IBS - Abstain (no impact): 03/31/2017 13:34:46 Ms. Edith L. Winters - SDDC/GFM - Approve: The ITV data is submitted by the carrier. So they will be responsible for the data quality. The recipient of the 214 may find it difficult to validate the data and mitigate errors. 04/03/2017 09:59:00 Mr. Gregory (Greg) R. Griffin - USN/FACTS - Abstain (no impact): 04/04/2017 12:31:49 Ms. Lynn M. Jacobs - USTC - Approve: 04/10/2017 09:50:50 Ms. Judy A. Bratcher - USTC/IGC - Approve: 04/10/2017 13:34:03 Mr. Gordon M. Allbritton - USAF/CMOS - Abstain (no impact): CMOS does not use this IC. 04/12/2017 16:20:38 Ms. Sherry M. Verdu - SDDC - Approve - with comments: Implementation - Ensure Govt systems can receive the new values before the carrier sends. 04/13/2017 10:33:44 Ms. Sylvia Williams - DLMSO - Abstain (no impact):		
ITEM 2: DISPOSITION: (Identify the status of the DM request.)		
Final Status: Approved		
ITEM 4: IMPLEMENTATION HISTORY		
TRDM: Air Mobility Command: SDDC/IBS: SDDC/GFM: USN/FACTS: USTC: USTC: USTC/IGC: USAF/CMOS: SDDC: DLMSO:		
ITEM 5: DATE OF IMPLEMENTATION		
IC Publication Date:	IC Implementation Date:	