Part 1

DTEB DATA MAINTENANCE WORK REQUEST FORM

DATE SUBMITTED: 10/15/2018	DM NUMBER: 1294

USE THIS FORM TO REQUEST A NEW OR A CHANGE TO AN EXISTING DoD EDI CONVENTION OR ASC X12 STANDARD.
Complete all required actions. Numbered attachments may be used for continuation.

ITEM 1: SUBMITTER INFORMATION
If the submitter information below is incorrect contact:
Mr. William (Bill) J. Grass, transcom.scott.tcj6.mbx.DTEB-Account@mail.mil, DSN 770-4427, Comm (618) 220-4427 to update.

NAME Mr. Byron J Lewis	ORGANIZATION TCAQ	Application Systems Affected: DSS,FACTS,
EMail ADDRESS byron.j.lewis4.ctr@mail.mil	PHONE (DSN) 770-7146 PHONE (COMMERCIAL) (618) 220-7146	

ITEM 2: REFERENCES
Identify either the DoD EDI convention or ASC X12 standard requiring change. If a new convention or standard is required, type "NEW" in place of the title.

Type DoD EDI (C)onvention	TITLE: DTEB 219.D.004010 3RD PARTY LOGISTICS TRANSPORTATION SERVICE REQUEST

ITEM 3: PROPOSED WORK
Identify the action required (add, change, delete) and describe the specific work requested to the convention of standard. For convention work, identify section, page number, affected item, and any other information that clarifies the request. For standard work, identify segment, segment position, segment attribute, data element, data element attribute, and any other information that clarifies the request. Acronyms/abbreviations must be spelled out. New codes must include an expanded code definition.

ACTION: Add	Description:

Add an instance of the L11 segment at index 26. Include the L1101 and L1102 segments. In the L1102 segment, use the 'ZZ' qualifier with a DOD definition of "Stackable Flag" to indicate that this instance of the L11 is for the Stackable/Non-Stackable Flag. The L1101 will store the value "STACKABLE". If a line item is not stackable, then the L11 segment at index 26 would not be passed.

ITEM 4: REASON FOR CHANGE (business case)
Explain why you need the proposed change. Provide a complete scenario that tells what the business function, operation, or problem is that will be satisfied by a change to the convention. Technical assessment requires enough information to be able to propose an alternate solution, if necessary. Be specific. Use additional paper if necessary.

To accommodate the DFTS contract, additional accessorial codes are needed in the 219D.

ITEM 5: PRIORITY/REQUIRED DATE
Identify priority and required date of change.

PRIORITY: (R) - Routine	Required by date: 10/29/2018
Administration change only. No DTEB vote required for approval.	Vote required.

ITEM 6: Reviewed

REVIEW ACTION: TRANSCOM Review

Comments:
Recommend release to member vote. DJE 16 Oct 18

ITEM 7: Reviewed by TRANSCOM.

APPROVAL ACTION: Approved

Comments:
jdd_10-17-18

ITEM 8: Ask for an additional reviewer's inputs.

Don't ask an SME to review this DM.

Comments:

ITEM 9: Voting.

Voting Action:	Released for Voting
Due Date:	10/31/2018

Voting Comments:
released for member vote. MRJ 17 Oct 18

ITEM 10: Final Approval.

Approval Action:	Approved

Final Approval Comments:
Approved by member vote. MRJ 31 Oct 18

Part 2

ADDITIONAL DTEB DATA MAINTENANCE WORK REQUEST INFORMATION

DATE SUBMITTED: 10/15/2018	DM NUMBER: 1294

ITEM 1: DEVELOPMENT HISTORY

10/17/2018 09:39:36 Mr. Gregory (Greg) R. Griffin - USN/FACTS - Abstain (no impact) :
10/17/2018 11:24:53 Ms. Amie M. Davis - SDDC/TOPS - Abstain (no impact) :
10/17/2018 14:17:03 Ms. Kay J Clodfelter - USTC - Abstain (no impact) :
10/18/2018 07:53:07 Mr. Gordon M. Allbritton - USAF/CMOS - Approve - with comments :
CMOS is not supporting DFTS at this time but has an unscheduled requirement to do so. This
change will be implemented in CMOS when the requirement is put on schedule.

10/18/2018 09:12:54 Mr. Craig R. Matlock - Air Mobility Command - Abstain (no impact) : No Impact to Air GATES
10/23/2018 08:49:34 Ms. Sherry M. Verdu - SDDC - Approve :
10/24/2018 08:12:27 Ms. Judy A. Bratcher - USTC/IGC - Abstain (no impact) :
10/24/2018 11:05:35 Ms. Rose M. McLeod - SDDC/IBS - Abstain (no impact) :
10/25/2018 08:52:07 Mr. Richard (Rich) S. Kinkade - SDDC/GFM - Approve :
11/01/2018 07:53:07 Mr. Richard J Morrow - DLMSO - Abstain (no impact) : 1) The DM does not specifically say that a new User note needs to be added to the 219D IC showing the code "ZZ", adding the DTEB description of the code, and documenting how users will apply that code. Although the DTEB support team may consider that "understood" in the DM change, EBSO would prefer to see it specifically called out in the DM change text. 2) Also, at one point in Item 3: Proposed Work in the DM the text refers to "the stackable/non-stackable flag", which is a misnomer. This is (as correctly identified elsewhere in the Item 3 text) only a "stackable" flag—if the shipment unit is not stackable, the iteration of the L11 segment containing this flag is not sent at all.

ITEM 2: DISPOSITION: (Identify the status of the DM request.)

Final Status: Approved

ITEM 4: IMPLEMENTATION HISTORY

USN/FACTS :
SDDC/TOPS :
USTC : 10/18/2018
USAF/CMOS :
Air Mobility Command :
SDDC :
USTC/IGC :
SDDC/IBS :
SDDC/GFM : 10/01/2021
DLMSO :

ITEM 5: DATE OF IMPLEMENTATION

IC Publication Date:	IC Implementation Date: